# TENNESSEE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FISCAL REVIEW COMMITTEE

## FISCAL MEMORANDUM



HB 3625 - SB 3481

April 26, 2010

**SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT (013832):** Deletes Section One of the original bill. Prohibits registered sex offenders from residing in any public university housing facility. This includes on and off-campus housing.

#### FISCAL IMPACT OF ORIGINAL BILL:

Increase State Expenditures - \$223,800/One-Time

#### FISCAL IMPACT OF BILL WITH PROPOSED AMENDMENT:

#### Unchanged from the original corrected fiscal note

Assumptions applied to amendment:

- The University of Tennessee system and the Tennessee Board of Regents will hire additional personnel in the first year of implementation (FY10-11). UT and TBR cannot accommodate the increase in workload with existing personnel in FY10-11 since applications will be manually checked against the registry.
- UT has approximately 15,000 housing applications per year and approximately 10,000 residents. TBR has approximately 14,000 housing applications per year. Each system will check current residents against the TBI sex offender registry at least once per school year at the beginning of the spring semester.
- UT estimates that one full-time and two part-time employees will be hired with the full-time position employed at UT-Knoxville and the two part-time positions at UT-Martin and UT-Chattanooga respectively.
- TBR estimates that two full-time and four part-time employees will be hired.
- The cost for one full-time employee will be approximately \$38,400 with salary (\$30,000) and benefits (\$8,400); three full-time employees will cost approximately \$115,200 (\$38,400 x 3).
- One-part time employee will cost approximately \$18,100 with salary (\$15,000) and benefits (\$3,100); six part-time employees will cost approximately \$108,600 (\$18,100 x 6).

- Total increase in one-time state expenditures will be approximately \$223,800 (\$115,200 + \$108,600).
- In FY11-12 and subsequent years, the process will be more automated, requiring less review time and should alleviate the need for additional personnel. The cost to write the computer programs for each institution and extract a list from the sex offender registry can be accommodated within existing resources without an increased appropriation or reduced reversion.

### **CERTIFICATION:**

This is to duly certify that the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

James W. White, Executive Director

/msg